

A LETTER TO HARVARD LAW SCHOOL STUDENTS
March 29, 2025

To our students:

We are privileged to teach and learn the law with you. We write to you today -- in our individual capacities -- because we believe that American legal precepts and the institutions designed to uphold them are being severely tested, and many of you have expressed to us your concerns and fears about the present moment.

Each of us brings different, sometimes irreconcilable, perspectives to what the law is and should be. Diverse viewpoints are a credit to our school. But we share, and take seriously, a commitment to the rule of law: for people to be equal before it, and for its administration to be impartial.

That commitment is foundational to the whole legal profession, and to the special role that lawyers play in our society. As the Model Rules of Professional Conduct provide: "A lawyer is ... an officer of the legal system and a public citizen having special responsibility for the quality of justice."

The rule of law is imperiled when government leaders:

- * single out lawyers and law firms for retribution based on their lawful and ethical representation of clients disfavored by the government, undermining the Sixth Amendment;

- * threaten law firms and legal clinics for their lawyers' pro bono work or prior government service;

- * relent on those arbitrary threats based on public acts of submission and outlays of funds for favored causes; and

- * punish people for lawfully speaking out on matters of public concern.

While reasonable people can disagree about the characterization of particular incidents, we are all acutely concerned that severe challenges to the rule of law are taking place, and we strongly condemn any effort to undermine the basic norms we have described.

On our own campus and at many other universities, international students have reported fear of imprisonment or deportation for lawful speech and political activism. Whatever we might each think about particular conduct under particular facts, we share a conviction that our Constitution, including its First Amendment, was designed to make dissent and debate possible without fear of government punishment.

Neither a law school nor a society can properly function amidst such fear. We reaffirm our commitment to the rule of law and to our roles in teaching and upholding the precepts of a fair and impartial legal system.

Sincerely,

Bill Alford
Deborah Anker
Sabi Ardalan
Oren Bar-Gill
Elizabeth Bartholet
Christopher Bavitz
Yochai Benkler
Sharon Block
Gabriella Blum
Nikolas Bowie
Molly Brady
Scott Brewer
Guy-Uriel Charles
John Coates
I. Glenn Cohen
Andrew Manuel Crespo
Christine Desan
Ryan D. Doerfler
Charles Donahue
Benjamin Eidelson
Einer Elhauge
Richard Fallon
Susan H. Farbstain
William Fisher
Idriss Fofana
Jody Freeman
Jacob Gersen
Tyler R. Giannini
Ruth Greenwood
Michael Gregory
Jim Greiner
Janet Halley
Jon Hanson
Sheila Heen
Howell Jackson
Vicki Jackson
Alan Jenkins
Ronald S. Sullivan Jr.
Elizabeth Papp Kamali
Andrew Kaufman
Randall Kennedy
Duncan Kennedy
David Kennedy
Michael Klarman
Adriaan Lanni
Eloise Lawrence
Richard Lazarus
Emily Broad Leib
Jill Lepore
Lawrence Lessig
Christopher Lewis
Anna Lvovsky
Kenneth W. Mack
Bruce H. Mann

Frank Michelman
Martha Minow
Robert Mnookin
Naz K. Modirzadeh
Daniel Nagin
Alexandra Natapoff
Charles Nesson
Gerald L. Neuman
Richard Parker
Todd Rakoff
Daphna Renan
Mark J. Roe
David Rosenberg
William Rubenstein
Benjamin Sachs
Larry Schwartz
Carmel Shachar
Hannah Shaffer
Joseph William Singer
Holger Spamann
Carol Steiker
Henry Steiner
Nicholas Stephanopoulos
Lew Sargentich
Matthew C. Stephenson
Kristen Stilt
Jeannie Suk Gersen
Laurence H. Tribe
Rebecca Tushnet
Dehlia Umunna
Rachel Viscomi
Laura Weinrib
Lucie White
David Wilkins
Mark Wu
Crystal S. Yang
Jonathan Zittrain

The individuals listed here had signed this letter as of March 29, 2025.
All signatories are members of the HLS voting faculty (active or emeritus).